

November 12, 2004

Mr. M. Gustave Pick Scott, Hulse, Marshall, Feuille, Finger & Thurmond, P.C. P. O. Box 99123 El Paso, Texas 79999-9123

OR2004-9641

Dear Mr. Pick:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 212724.

The Ysleta Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for "all contracts, statements, correspondence, memorandums [sic], e-mails and other documents in the possession of the [district] that pertain to LER & Associates or [a named individual] from January 1, 2004 to the present." You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.²

¹You also raise section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides a list of eighteen categories of information that are expressly public and may not be withheld unless confidential under other law. See Gov't Code § 552.022. Thus, section 552.022 is not an exception to disclosure. Further, although you appear to assert the attorney-client privilege under section 552.101, the proper exception for the attorney-client privilege for information not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code is section 552.107. See Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6 (2002).

²To the extent that additional responsive information exists, we assume the district has released it to the requestor. If not, the district must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302; see also Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Initially, we note that the submitted documents are made expressly public by section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in pertinent part:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
 - (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108;

. . . .

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (3). Thus, the district must release the submitted documents unless the information is expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act (the "Act") and does not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (stating that governmental body may waive section 552.103), Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions in general). However, the Texas Supreme Court has held that "[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are 'other law' within the meaning of section 552.022." In re City of Georgetown, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). Thus, we will determine whether any of the submitted information is protected from disclosure under Texas Rule of Evidence 503.

Rule 503(b)(1) provides:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a

lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;

- (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. TEX. R. EVID. 503(a)(5).

Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under Rule 503, a governmental body must (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the document containing privileged information is confidential under Rule 503 provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in Rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You state that the submitted documents constitute communications between privileged parties made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the district. You have also identified the parties to the communications. We have reviewed the submitted information and find that most of this information reflects confidential attorney-client communications. The district may withhold the information we have marked on document 0002, as well as documents 0004 through 0077, pursuant to Rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. None of the remaining information may be withheld under Rule 503.

We next note, however, that document 0003 contains an account number. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. Thus, pursuant to this section, the district must withhold the account number we have marked. The remainder of documents 0001 through 0003 must be released.

In summary, the district may withhold the information we have marked on document 0002, as well as documents 0004 through 0077, pursuant to Rule 503 of the Texas Rules of

Evidence. The district must withhold the account number we have marked on document 0003 pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.022(a)(3), the remainder of documents 0001 through 0003 must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this

ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Sarah I. Swanson

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Soul Sours

SIS/krl

Ref: ID# 212724

Submitted documents Enc.

Mr. Charles R. Schulte, Jr. c:

2316 Montana

El Paso, Texas 79903

(w/o enclosures)